

**ASSAM AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY**  
Directorate of Post-Graduate Studies

**THESIS EVALUATION REPORT**

1. **Title of the thesis:**

2. **Name of the student:**

3. **Degree programme:** M.Sc.(Agri)/M.Sc.(Community Science)/  
M.V.Sc./M.F.Sc./M.Sc.(Food Technology)/MBA(Agri-Bussiness)/Ph.D.:

4. **Department/Discipline of the student:**

5. **Report (Summary):**

**A. Acceptance of the thesis (Please tick mark the appropriate bullet):**

- The thesis is accepted and considered fit enough for conducting the examination
- The thesis is rejected on grounds specified below:
- The thesis requires to be resubmitted after revision in the light of the detailed report after the expiry of one semester.

**B. Fitness of the thesis for publication:**

- The thesis is fit for publication in its original form or in any modified form
- The thesis is of such outstanding merit that the University would be justified in publishing it at own cost.

Signature of the External Examiner:

Date:

Name of the External Examiner:

Designation & Complete Address of the External Examiner:

## **Report in Detail:**

(Report on each item should be given in detail. Extra sheets may be added, if needed)

1. Technical evaluation of the thesis (Chapter-wise). The Examiner may add more points to the ones listed below.

### **i) Introduction:**

- a) Has the author been able to introduce the theme of research with justification of conducting the research work:
- b) Are the objectives well defined, relevant to the theme of research, and achievable:

### **ii) Review of Literature<sup>1</sup>:**

- a) Is the review relevant to the theme of research:
- b) Is the review exhaustive and critical:
- c) Are all works cited in the chapter 'Bibliography':

### **iii) Materials and Methods/Methodology:**

- a) Has the author adequately described the materials used and the methodologies adopted in carrying out the research, in a comprehensible manner:
- b) Does the information provided in this chapter adequately match the findings:

### **iv) Experimental Findings/Results and Discussion:**

- a) Are the findings/results presented systematically and clearly:
- b) Has the author adequately used tables, graphs, drawings, photographs etc. to explain the findings/ are some of them redundant:
- c) Has the author discussed the findings logically as well as critically, in relevance to earlier works of similar nature, if any:

- d) Has the author been able to draw inferences from the research and attain the set objectives:

**v) Discussion<sup>2</sup>:**

- a) Has the author discussed the findings logically as well as critically, in relevance to earlier works of similar nature, if any:
- b) Has the author been able to draw inferences from the research and attain the set objectives:
- c) Does the chapter look like a mere repetition of the previous chapter 'Experimental Findings':

**vi) Summary and Conclusion/Summary Report:**

- a) Does the chapter reflect the nature of work, time, place, objectives and brief methodology.
- b) Are the important features of the findings placed systematically and clearly:

**vii) References/Bibliography<sup>3</sup>:**

- a) Are all references cited in the text listed in this chapter:
- b) Are all references written and arranged following standard norms:

**viii) Appendix(ces):**

- a) Are the Appendices supportive to the research work:

- ix) **Abstract:** Does the Abstract reflect the purpose of the research, methods used, important findings and their significance.

**2. Language and Grammar:**

i) Correctness:

ii) Improvements suggested:

**3. Additional comments, if any:**

---

<sup>1, 2</sup> & <sup>3</sup> *are not for MBA (Agri Business) Report*